

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/577,959	03/08/2007	Hisanori Takahashi	12844.102USWO	1390
52835 7590 966162908 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			EXAM	TINER
			COHEN, LEE 8	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3739		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/577,959	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lee S. Cohen	3739	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

ean	ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.		

4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
nlication Paners

Appli 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/06</u> .	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/577,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim sets forth an improper Markush recital [see MPEP 2173.05(h)].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kroll et al (4,763,660). Applicant's attention is directed Figure 4 and column 4, line 48 – column 5, line 21. Perforations are detailed at column 4, line 65.

Claims 1-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kornrumpf et al (6,415,169). Applicant's attention is directed Figures 1-9 and the detailed description thereof. Figures 7-9 clearly show the details of the split induction part. Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1-6, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Istvan et al (7,197,357). Applicant's attention is directed Figures 2 and 3 and column 4, lines 9 - 56. Perforations are detailed at column 4. line 55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kornrumpf et al (6,415,169). The particular dimensions are within the level of skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the apparatus.

Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Kroll et al (4,763,660) or Istvan et al (7,197,357). The particular dimensions and breaking strength are within the level of skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the apparatus.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kornrumpf et al (6,415,169) in view of Kroll et al (4,763,660). Kornrumpf et al fails to clearly disclose the use of perforations. Such a feature is specifically taught by Kroll et al as detailed supra. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to use perforations to connect the split induction parts in Kornrumpf et al since a predictable result would ensue. The particular breaking strength is within the level of skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the apparatus. Art Unit: 3739

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figure 5 is not labeled as prior art. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Linda Dyorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

/Lee S. Cohen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739

May 28, 2008